

US009406078B2

(12) United States Patent

Freeman et al.

(54) SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DELIVERING TARGETED ADVERTISEMENTS AND/OR PROVIDING NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING BASED ON ADVERTISEMENTS

(71) Applicant: **VOICEBOX TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION**, Bellevue, WA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Tom Freeman**, Mercer Island, WA (US); **Mike Kennewick**, Bellevue, WA (US)

(73) Assignee: VoiceBox Technologies Corporation,

Bellevue, WA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this

patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 14/836,606

(22) Filed: **Aug. 26, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0364133 A1 Dec. 17, 2015

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/537,598, filed on Nov. 10, 2014, which is a continuation of application No. 14/016,757, filed on Sep. 3, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,886,536, which is a continuation of application No.

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl. G10L 15/26** (2006.01) **G06Q 30/02** (2012.01)
(Continued)

(52) U.S. Cl.

(10) Patent No.: US 9,406,078 B2

(45) **Date of Patent:**

Aug. 2, 2016

(56) References Cited

Field of Classification Search

4,430,669 A 2/1984 Cheung 4,821,027 A 4/1989 Mallory

(Continued)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 1320043 A2 6/2003 EP 1646037 4/2006

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Wu, Su-Lin, et al. "Integrating syllable boundary information into speech recognition." Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1997. ICASSP-97., 1997 IEEE International Conference on. vol. 2. IEEE, 1997.*


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Brian Albertalli (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP

(57) ABSTRACT

The system and method described herein may use various natural language models to deliver targeted advertisements and/or provide natural language processing based on advertisements. In one implementation, an advertisement associated with a product or service may be provided for presentation to a user. A natural language utterance of the user may be received. The natural language utterance may be interpreted based on the advertisement and, responsive to the existence of a pronoun in the natural language utterance, a determination of whether the pronoun refers to one or more of the product or service or a provider of the product or service may be effectuated.

30 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



US 9,406,078 B2Page 2

	Relate	ed U.S. A	pplication Data		5,892,813	Α	4/1999	Morin	
					5,892,900	A	4/1999	Ginter	
			b. 13, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,527,		5,895,464			Bhandari	
	274, which is a continuation of application No. 12/847,				5,895,466 5,897,613		4/1999 4/1999	Goldberg	
	564, filed on Jul. 30, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,145,489,				5,902,347			Backman	
	which is a continuation of application No. 11/671,526,				5,911,120	A	6/1999		
	filed on Feb. (5, 2007, r	now Pat. No. 7,818,176.		5,918,222	A	6/1999		
					5,926,784			Richardson	
(51)	Int. Cl.				5,933,822 5,950,167		9/1999	Braden-Harder Yaker	
. ,	G10L 15/18		(2013.01)		5,953,393			Culbreth	
	G06F 17/27		(2006.01)		5,960,397	A	9/1999		
					5,960,399		9/1999	Barclay	
(56)		Referen	ces Cited		5,960,447 5,963,894		9/1999 10/1999	Richardson	
	II C	DATENIT	DOCUMENTS		5,963,940		10/1999		
	0.8.1	PALENI	DOCUMENTS		5,983,190			Trowerll	
	4,829,423 A	5/1989	Tennant		5,987,404			Della Pietra	
	4,887,212 A	12/1989			5,991,721 5,995,119		11/1999 11/1999		
	4,910,784 A		Doddington		5,995,928		11/1999		
	5,027,406 A 5,155,743 A	6/1991 10/1992	Roberts					Bull	
	5,164,904 A	11/1992			c 000 202		12/1000	N	705/14.39
	5,208,748 A	5/1993	Flores		6,009,382 6,014,559		12/1999 1/2000		
	5,265,065 A	11/1993			6,018,708		1/2000		
	5,274,560 A 5,357,596 A	12/1993	LaRue Takebayashi		6,021,384		2/2000		
	5,369,575 A		Lamberti		6,028,514	A		Lemelson	
	5,377,350 A	12/1994			6,035,267 6,044,347		3/2000	Watanabe	
	5,386,556 A	1/1995			6,049,602			Foladare	
	5,424,947 A 5,471,318 A	6/1995 11/1995			6,049,607	A	4/2000	Marash	
	5,475,733 A	12/1995	Eisdorfer		6,058,187		5/2000		
	5,479,563 A		Yamaguchi		6,067,513 6,073,098			Ishimitsu Buchsbaum	
	5,488,652 A	1/1996			6,076,059			Glickman	
	5,499,289 A 5,500,920 A	3/1996 3/1996			6,078,886	A	6/2000	Dragosh	
	5,517,560 A		Greenspan		6,081,774		6/2000		
	5,533,108 A	7/1996	Harris		6,085,186 6,101,241		8/2000	Christianson Boyce	
	5,537,436 A		Bottoms		6,108,631		8/2000		
	5,539,744 A 5,557,667 A	7/1996 9/1996			6,119,087		9/2000		
	5,559,864 A		Kennedy, Jr.		6,119,101	A *	9/2000	Peckover	
	5,563,937 A	10/1996	Bruno		6,122,613	Α	9/2000	Baker	705/14.17
	5,577,165 A		Takebayashi		6,134,235			Goldman	
	5,590,039 A 5,608,635 A	12/1996 3/1997			6,144,667		11/2000		
	5,617,407 A	4/1997			6,144,938 6,154,526		11/2000 11/2000		
	5,633,922 A	5/1997	August		6,160,883		12/2000		
	5,634,086 A		Rtischev		6,167,377		12/2000		
	5,652,570 A 5,675,629 A	10/1997	Lepkofker Raffel		6,173,266		1/2001		
	5,696,965 A	12/1997			6,173,279 6,175,858		1/2001 1/2001		
	5,708,422 A		Blonder		6,185,535		2/2001		
	5,721,938 A 5,722,084 A		Stuckey Chakrin		6,188,982			Chiang	
	5,740,256 A		CastelloDaCosta		6,192,110 6,192,338		2/2001 2/2001		
	5,742,763 A	4/1998	Jones		6,195,634			Dudemaine	
	5,748,841 A	5/1998			6,195,651	В1		Handel	
	5,748,974 A 5,752,052 A		Johnson Richardson		6,199,043		3/2001		
	5,754,784 A		Garland		6,208,964 6,208,972		3/2001	Sabourin	
	5,761,631 A		Nasukawa		6,219,346			Maxemchuk	
	5,774,841 A		Salazar		6,219,643	B1	4/2001	Cohen	
	5,774,859 A 5,794,050 A		Houser Dahlgren		6,226,612			Srenger	
	5,794,196 A		Yegnanarayanan		6,233,556 6,233,559			Teunen Balakrishnan	
	5,797,112 A	8/1998	Komatsu		6,233,561			Junqua	
	5,799,276 A		Komissarchik		6,236,968			Kanevsky	
	5,802,510 A 5,829,000 A	9/1998 10/1998			6,246,981	В1	6/2001	Papineni	
	5,832,221 A	11/1998	Jones		6,246,990		6/2001		
	5,839,107 A	11/1998	Gupta		6,266,636 6,269,336		7/2001 7/2001	Kosaka Ladd	
	5,848,396 A 5,855,000 A	12/1998			6,269,336			Ladd Hoshen	
	5,855,000 A 5,867,817 A	12/1998 2/1999	Catallo		6,275,231			Obradovich	
	5,878,385 A		Bralich		6,278,377	B1	8/2001	DeLine	
	5,878,386 A		Coughlin		6,278,968	В1	8/2001	Franz	

US 9,406,078 B2 Page 3

(56)	Refere	nces Cited		,591,239			McCall
11.0	DATENIT	DOCUMENTS		,594,257 ,594,367		7/2003 7/2003	Doshi Marash
0.5	. FALEIVI	DOCUMENTS		598,018		7/2003	
6,286,002 B1	* 9/2001	Axaopoulos G06F 17/30864	6,	,601,026	B2	7/2003	
0,200,002 21		705/26.8		,604,075		8/2003	
6,288,319 B1	9/2001	Catona		,604,077			Dragosh
6,292,767 B1		Jackson		,606,598		8/2003 8/2003	Holthouse
6,301,560 B1		Masters		,614,773			Maxemchuk
6,308,151 B1 6,311,159 B1	10/2001	Smith VanTichelen		615,172			Bennett
6,314,402 B1		Monaco		,622,119			Ramaswamy
6,321,196 B1		Franceschi		,629,066			Jackson
6,356,869 B1		Chapados		,631,346			Karaorman
6,362,748 B1		Huang		,631,351		10/2003	Ramachandran
6,366,882 B1 6,366,886 B1	4/2002	Biji Dragosh	,	,636,790			Lightner
6,374,214 B1		Friedland		,643,620			Contolini
6,377,913 B1		Coffman		,647,363			Claassen
6,381,535 B1		Durocher		,650,747		11/2003	
6,385,596 B1		Wiser		,658,388		1/2003	Kleindienst
6,385,646 B1		Brown Majaniemi		,681,206		1/2004	
6,393,403 B1 6,393,428 B1		Miller		,691,151		2/2004	
6,397,181 B1	5/2002			,701,294		3/2004	
6,404,878 B1	6/2002	Jackson		,704,396			Parolkar
6,405,170 B1		Phillips		,704,576 ,704,708			Brachman Pickering
6,408,272 B1		White		,704,708		3/2004	
6,411,810 B1 6,411,893 B2	6/2002	Maxemchuk Ruhl		708,150			Hirayama
6,415,257 B1		Junqua		,721,001		4/2004	
6,418,210 B1		Sayko		,721,633		4/2004	
6,420,975 B1		DeLine		,721,706 ,726,636			Strubbe Der Ghazarian
6,429,813 B2 6,430,285 B1		Feigen Bauer		,735,592			Neumann
6,430,531 B1		Polish		739,556		5/2004	Langston
6,434,523 B1		Monaco		,741,931		5/2004	
6,434,524 B1		Weber		,742,021 ,745,161		5/2004 6/2004	Halverson
6,434,529 B1 6,442,522 B1		Walker Carberry		751,591		6/2004	
6,446,114 B1		Bulfer		,751,612			Schuetze
6,453,153 B1		Bowker		,754,485			Obradovich
6,453,292 B2		Ramaswamy		,754,627			Woodward
6,456,711 B1		Cheung		,757,544 ,757,718			Rangarajan Halverson
6,456,974 B1 6,466,654 B1		Baker Cooper		795,808			Strubbe
6,466,899 B1	10/2002			,801,604		10/2004	
6,470,315 B1		Netsch		,801,893			Backfried
6,487,494 B2		Odinak		,810,375 ,813,341		10/2004	Ejerned Mahoney
6,487,495 B1 6,498,797 B1	11/2002	Anerousis		816,830		11/2004	
6,499,013 B1	12/2002			,829,603		12/2004	Wolf
6,501,833 B2	12/2002	Phillips		,832,230			Zilliacus
6,501,834 B1		Milewski		,833,848 ,850,603		12/2004 2/2005	
6,505,155 B1 6,510,417 B1		Vanbuskirk Quilici		,856,990		2/2005	
6,513,006 B2		Howard		,865,481		3/2005	Kawazoe
6,522,746 B1		Marchok		,868,380			Kroeker
6,523,061 B1		Halverson	,	,868,385 ,871,179		3/2005	Gerson Kist
6,532,444 B1 6,539,348 B1		Weber Bond		,873,837			Yoshioka
6,549,629 B2	4/2003			,877,001		4/2005	
6,553,372 B1		Brassell		,877,134		4/2005	
6,556,970 B1		Sasaki		,901,366		5/2005 6/2005	
6,556,973 B1		Lewin		.910,003			Stevens
6,560,576 B1 6,560,590 B1		Cohen Shwe		,915,126			Mazzara, Jr.
6,567,778 B1		Chao Chang		,928,614			Everhart
6,567,797 B1		Schuetze		,934,756		8/2005	
6,567,805 B1		Johnson		,937,977 ,937,982		8/2005	Kitaoka
6,570,555 B1 6,570,964 B1		Prevost Murveit		,941,266		9/2005	
6,571,279 B1	5/2003			,944,594			Busayapongchai
6,574,597 B1		Mohri	6,	,950,821	B2	9/2005	Faybishenko
6,574,624 B1		Johnson		,954,755			Reisman
6,578,022 B1		Foulger		,959,276		10/2005	
6,581,103 B1 6,584,439 B1		Dengler Geilhufe		,961,700 ,963,759		11/2005 11/2005	
6,587,858 B1		Strazza		,964,023		11/2005	
5,567,656 DI	1,2003		0,	,. 0 1,023		11,2003	

US 9,406,078 B2 Page 4

(56) Referen	nces Cited	7,424,431		9/2008		
II C DATENT	TOOCHMENTS	7,447,635 7,451,088		11/2008	Konopka Ehlen	
U.S. PAIENI	DOCUMENTS	7,451,068		11/2008		
6,968,311 B2 11/2005	Knockeart	7,454,608			Gopalakrishnan	
	Masclet	7,461,059	B2		Richardson	
6,975,993 B1 12/2005		7,472,020	B2		Brulle-Drews	
6,980,092 B2 12/2005	Turnbull	7,472,060		12/2008		
6,983,055 B2 1/2006		7,472,075 7,477,909		12/2008 1/2009		
	Belfiore	7,477,909		1/2009		
6,996,531 B2 2/2006 7,003,463 B1 2/2006	Korall Mass	7,487,088		2/2009		
	Arnold	7,487,110	B2		Bennett	
7,020,609 B2 3/2006		7,493,259		2/2009		
	Guerra	7,493,559		2/2009		
	Bushey	7,502,672 7,502,738		3/2009	Kons Kennewick	
7,027,975 B1 4/2006 7,035,415 B2 4/2006	Pazandak Polt	7,516,076			Walker	
7,035,413 B2 4/2006 7,036,128 B1 4/2006		7,529,675		5/2009		
7,043,425 B2 5/2006		7,536,297		5/2009		
7,054,817 B2 5/2006	Shao	7,536,374		5/2009		
	George	7,542,894 7,546,382		6/2009 6/2009		
	Reisman	7,548,491			Macfarlane	
7,069,220 B2 6/2006 7,072,834 B2 7/2006	Coffman Zhou	7,552,054			Stifelman	
	Ohtsuji	7,558,730		7/2009		
7,082,469 B2 7/2006		7,574,362		8/2009		
	Manson	7,577,244			Taschereau	
7,092,928 B1 8/2006		7,606,708 7,620,549		10/2009 11/2009		
7,107,210 B2 9/2006		7,634,409			Kennewick	
	Preston Lemelson	7,640,006		12/2009		
7,127,395 B1 10/2006		7,640,160	B2	12/2009	DiCristo	
7,127,400 B2 10/2006		7,640,272			Mahajan	
7,130,390 B2 10/2006		7,672,931 7,676,365		3/2010 3/2010	Hurst-Hiller	
	Anderson	7,676,369		3/2010	Fujimoto	
	Coffman Chestnut	7,684,977			Morikawa	
	Stifelman	7,693,720	B2	4/2010	Kennewick	
7,146,319 B2 12/2006		7,697,673		4/2010		
	Shimizu	7,706,616			Kristensson	
7,165,028 B2 1/2007		7,729,916 7,729,918		6/2010	Coffman Walker	
	Anderson Obradovich	7,729,920		6/2010		
7,171,291 B2 1/2007 7,174,300 B2 2/2007		7,734,287		6/2010	Ying	
7,177,798 B2 2/2007		7,748,021			Obradovich	
	Brookes	7,788,084 7,792,257		8/2010	Brun Vanier I	TO 4 M 2 / 4 0 7 0
7,190,770 B2 3/2007		1,192,231	DI.	9/2010	vanner r	379/114.13
	Agazzi Gupta	7,801,731	B2	9/2010	Odinak	373711111
	Anderson	7,809,570			Kennewick	
7,206,418 B2 4/2007		7,818,176			Freeman	
	Mulvey	7,831,426 7,831,433		11/2010		
	Beckmann	7,856,358		12/2010		
	Omote Treadgold	7,873,519			Bennett	
	Gupta	7,873,523	B2	1/2011	Potter	
7,254,482 B2 8/2007	Kawasaki	7,873,654			Bernard	
	Eberle	7,881,936 7,890,324		2/2011	Longe Bangalore	
	Bennett	7,890,324		2/2011		
	Christenson Marchisio	7,902,969			Obradovich	
7,289,606 B2 10/2007		7,917,367			DiCristo	
	Kuzunuki	7,920,682		4/2011		
7,301,093 B2 11/2007	Sater	7,949,529			Weider	
	Poppink	7,949,537 7,953,732		5/2011	Walker	
7,321,850 B2 1/2008 7,328,155 B2 2/2008	Wakita Endo	7,933,732		7/2011		
	Endo Charlesworth	7,983,917		7/2011	Řennewick	
	Saylor	7,984,287	B2	7/2011	Gopalakrishnan	
7,366,285 B2 4/2008	Parolkar	8,005,683		8/2011		
7,366,669 B2 4/2008	Nishitani	8,015,006			Kennewick	
	Bernard Porth agarethy	8,032,383			Bhardwaj Keaveney	
	Parthasarathy Kennewick	8,060,367 8,069,046			Keaveney Kennewick	
	Odinak	8,073,681		12/2011		
	Cooper	8,077,975		12/2011		
7,415,414 B2 8/2008	Azara	8,082,153			Coffman	
7,421,393 B1 9/2008	Di Fabbrizio	8,086,463	B2	12/2011	Ativanichayaphong	

US 9,406,078 B2

Page 5

(56)	Referer	nces Cited	2002/0120609 A		Lang
HC	DATENIT	DOCUMENTS	2002/0124050 A 2002/0133354 A		Middeljans Poss
0.5.	PATENT	DOCUMENTS	2002/0133334 A		
9 102 510 D2	1/2012	C-t-	2002/0135618 A		
8,103,510 B2 8,112,275 B2	1/2012	Kennewick	2002/0138248 A		Corston-Oliver
8,140,327 B2		Kennewick	2002/0143532 A		McLean
8,140,335 B2		Kennewick	2002/0143535 A	10/2002	Kist
8,145,489 B2	3/2012	Freeman	2002/0152260 A	* 10/2002	Chen G06Q 30/06
8,150,694 B2		Kennewick			709/202
8,155,962 B2		Kennewick	2002/0161646 A	* 10/2002	Gailey G06F 17/3087
8,170,867 B2		Germain	2002/0173333 A	11/2002	705/14.64 Buchholz
8,180,037 B1		Delker	2002/0173933 A		
8,195,468 B2		Weider	2002/01/3301 A		
8,200,485 B1 8,219,399 B2	6/2012 7/2012		2002/0188602 A		
8,219,599 B2 8,219,599 B2		Tunstall-Pedoe	2002/0198714 A		
8,224,652 B2	7/2012		2003/0014261 A		Kageyama
8,255,224 B2		Singleton	2003/0016835 A		
8,326,627 B2		Kennewick	2003/0046346 A		Mumick
8,326,634 B2		DiCristo	2003/0064709 A		Gailey
8,326,637 B2		Baldwin	2003/0065427 A 2003/0069734 A		Funk Everhart
8,332,224 B2		DiCristo	2003/0009734 A 2003/0088421 A		
8,346,563 B1	1/2013	Hjelm Kennewick	2003/0097249 A		Walker
8,370,147 B2 8,447,607 B2		Weider	2003/0110037 A		Walker
8,452,598 B2		Kennewick	2003/0112267 A		Belrose
8,503,995 B2		Ramer	2003/0115062 A		Walker
8,509,403 B2	8/2013		2003/0120493 A		
8,515,765 B2	8/2013	Baldwin	2003/0135488 A		
8,527,274 B2		Freeman	2003/0144846 A		Denenberg F-1
8,589,161 B2		Kennewick	2003/0158731 A 2003/0161448 A		Falcon Parolkar
8,620,659 B2		DiCristo	2003/0182132 A		Niemoeller
8,719,005 B1 8,719,009 B2	5/2014	Lee Baldwin	2003/0182132 A		Van Thong
8,719,009 B2 8,719,026 B2		Kennewick	2003/0204492 A		
8,731,929 B2		Kennewick	2003/0206640 A		
8,738,380 B2		Baldwin	2003/0212550 A		
8,849,652 B2	9/2014	Weider	2003/0212558 A		
8,849,670 B2		DiCristo	2003/0212562 A 2003/0225825 A		
8,849,696 B2*	9/2014	Pansari G06Q 30/02	2003/0223823 A 2003/0236664 A		Sharma
8,849,791 B1	0/2014	370/259 Hertschuh	2004/0006475 A		
8,886,536 B2		Freeman	2004/0010358 A	1/2004	Oesterling
8,983,839 B2		Kennewick	2004/0025115 A	2/2004	Sienel
9,009,046 B1		Stewart	2004/0030741 A	* 2/2004	Wolton G06F 17/30873
9,015,049 B2		Baldwin	2004/0026604	2/2004	709/202
9,037,455 B1		Faaborg	2004/0036601 A: 2004/0044516 A:		Obradovich Kennewick
9,105,266 B2		Baldwin	2004/0098245 A		Walker
9,171,541 B2 9,269,097 B2		Kennewick Freeman	2004/0117179 A		Balasuriya
9,305,548 B2		Kennewick	2004/0117804 A		Scahill
2001/0039492 A1	11/2001		2004/0122674 A	6/2004	Bangalore
2001/0041980 A1		Howard	2004/0133793 A		Ginter
2001/0049601 A1		Kroeker	2004/0140989 A		Papageorge
2001/0054087 A1	12/2001		2004/0158555 A. 2004/0166832 A.		Seedman Portman
2002/0010584 A1*	1/2002	Schultz G06F 3/16	2004/0167771 A		
2002/0015500 A1	2/2002	704/270 Belt	2004/0172247 A		Yoon G10L 15/187
2002/0013300 A1 2002/0022927 A1		Lemelson			704/251
2002/0029186 A1	3/2002		2004/0172258 A		Dominach
2002/0029261 A1		Shibata	2004/0193408 A		
2002/0032752 A1	3/2002		2004/0193420 A		Kennewick
2002/0035501 A1		Handel	2004/0199375 A: 2004/0205671 A:		Sukehiro
2002/0040297 A1	4/2002		2004/02036/1 A 2004/0243417 A		
2002/0049535 A1	4/2002	Yamada	2004/0247092 A		Timmins
2002/0049805 A1 2002/0059068 A1	5/2002		2005/0015256 A		Kargman
2002/0065568 A1		Silfvast	2005/0021331 A	1/2005	Huang
2002/0067839 A1		Heinrich	2005/0021334 A	1/2005	Iwahashi
2002/0069059 A1	6/2002		2005/0021470 A		Martin
2002/0069071 A1	6/2002	Knockeart	2005/0021826 A		Kumar
2002/0073176 A1*	6/2002	Ikeda G06Q 30/02	2005/0033574 A		
2002/0002244	C/200=	709/219	2005/0033582 A		
2002/0082911 A1	6/2002		2005/0043940 A		
2002/0087312 A1	7/2002		2005/0080632 A 2005/0114116 A		Endo Fiedler
2002/0087326 A1 2002/0087525 A1	7/2002	Abbott	2005/0114116 A 2005/0125232 A		
2002/008/323 AT 2002/0107694 AT	8/2002		2005/0123232 A		Koizumi
2002/010/074 AI	3/2002		2005/01510/5 A.	. 0,2003	A DO ALIVEANA

US 9,406,078 B2

Page 6

(56)	Referen	ces Cited	2008/0147396 A1	6/2008	Wang Odinak	
IJ	S PATENT	DOCUMENTS	2008/0147410 A1 2008/0154604 A1		Sathish	
Ü	.0.17112111	BOCCIMENTS	2008/0162471 A1		Bernard	
2005/0137850 A			2008/0177530 A1	7/2008		
2005/0137877 A		Oesterling	2008/0189110 A1 2008/0235023 A1		Freeman Kennewick	
2005/0143994 A			2008/0235025 A1 2008/0235027 A1	9/2008		
2005/0144013 A 2005/0144187 A		Fujimoto Che	2008/0293027 A1 2008/0294437 A1	11/2008		
2005/0149319 A			2008/0294994 A1	11/2008	Kruger	
2005/0216254 A			2008/0319751 A1		Kennewick	
2005/0234727 A			2009/0006077 A1 2009/0024476 A1		Keaveney	
2005/0246174 A		DeGolia	2009/0024476 A1 2009/0052635 A1	1/2009 2/2009		
2005/0283364 A 2005/0283752 A		Longe Fruchter	2009/0067599 A1		Agarwal	
2006/0041431 A			2009/0076827 A1		Bulitta	
2006/0047509 A			2009/0106029 A1		DeLine	
2006/0072738 A	.1 4/2006	Louis	2009/0117885 A1	5/2009		C060 20/02
2006/0074671 A		Farmaner	2009/0144131 A1*	6/2009	Cniu	G06Q 30/02 704/270
2006/0100851 A		Schonebeck	2009/0144271 A1	6/2009	Richardson	704/270
2006/0182085 A 2006/0206310 A		Sweeney Ravikumar	2009/0150156 A1		Kennewick	
2006/0217133 A		Christenson	2009/0171664 A1	7/2009	Kennewick	
2006/0242017 A			2009/0216540 A1	8/2009		
2006/0253281 A			2009/0248605 A1		Mitchell	
2006/0285662 A			2009/0259646 A1	10/2009		
2007/0033005 A			2009/0265163 A1 2009/0271194 A1	10/2009 10/2009		
2007/0033020 A		Francois	2009/02/1194 A1 2009/02/3563 A1	11/2009		
2007/0038436 A 2007/0038445 A		Helbing	2009/0276700 A1		Anderson	
2007/0038443 A 2007/0043569 A			2009/0287680 A1	11/2009		
2007/0043574 A		Coffman	2009/0299745 A1		Kennewick	
2007/0043868 A			2009/0299857 A1		Brubaker	
2007/0050191 A		Weider	2009/0304161 A1		Pettyjohn	
2007/0055525 A		Kennewick	2009/0307031 A1 2009/0313026 A1		Winkler Coffman	
2007/0061067 A		Zeinstra	2010/0023320 A1		Di Cristo	
2007/0061735 A 2007/0073544 A		Hoffberg Millett	2010/0029261 A1		Mikkelsen	
2007/0073344 A 2007/0078708 A			2010/0036967 A1	2/2010		
2007/0078709 A		Rajaram	2010/0049501 A1		Kennewick	
2007/0078814 A		Flowers G06F 17/30684	2010/0049514 A1		Kennewick	
2007/0094003 A			2010/0057443 A1	3/2010		
2007/0112555 A			2010/0063880 A1 2010/0064025 A1		Atsmon Nelimarkka	
2007/0112630 A	1* 5/2007	Lau G06K 9/72 705/14.1	2010/0004023 A1 2010/0094707 A1	4/2010		
2007/0118357 A	.1 5/2007	Kasravi	2010/0145700 A1		Kennewick	
2007/0116957 A			2010/0185512 A1	7/2010	Borger	
2007/0135101 A			2010/0204986 A1		Kennewick	
2007/0146833 A	.1 6/2007	Satomi	2010/0204994 A1		Kennewick	
2007/0162296 A	1 7/2007	Altberg	2010/0217604 A1 2010/0286985 A1		Baldwin Kennewick	
2007/0174258 A		Jones G06Q 30/02	2010/0299142 A1		Freeman	
2007/0179778 A 2007/0185859 A		Flowers G06F 17/30864	2010/0233112 A1 2010/0312566 A1	12/2010		
2007/0186165 A		Maislos	2010/0331064 A1			
2007/0192309 A		Fischer G06F 17/30684	2011/0022393 A1	1/2011		
2007/0198267 A			2011/0106527 A1	5/2011		
2007/0203736 A		Ashton	2011/0112827 A1 2011/0112921 A1		Kennewick Kennewick	
2007/0208732 A		Flowers G06F 17/30867 Rosenberg	2011/0112921 A1 2011/0119049 A1		Ylonen	
2007/0214182 A 2007/0250901 A		McIntire	2011/0131036 A1		DiCristo	
2007/0250501 A 2007/0265850 A		Kennewick	2011/0131045 A1	6/2011	Cristo	
2007/0266257 A		Camaisa	2011/0231182 A1		Weider	
2007/0276651 A			2011/0231188 A1		Kennewick	G060 20/0241
2007/0299824 A			2011/0307167 A1*	12/2011	Taschereau	G06Q 30/0241 379/88.01
2008/0034032 A			2012/0022857 A1	1/2012	Baldwin	3/9/88.01
2008/0046311 A 2008/0059188 A		Shahine Konopka	2012/0046935 A1	2/2012		
2008/0065386 A			2012/0101809 A1		Kennewick	
2008/0065389 A		Cross G10L 21/00	2012/0101810 A1	4/2012	Kennewick	
		704/270	2012/0109753 A1		Kennewick	
2008/0091406 A		Baldwin	2012/0150620 A1		Mandyam	
2008/0103761 A	.1 * 5/2008	Printz G06Q 30/02	2012/0150636 A1		Freeman	
2000/0102701	1 5/2000	704/9	2012/0239498 A1	9/2012		
2008/0103781 A		Wasson	2012/0278073 A1	11/2012 1/2013		
2008/0104071 A		Pragada Reuther	2013/0006734 A1 2013/0054228 A1		Ocko Baldwin	
2008/0109285 A 2008/0115163 A			2013/0054228 A1 2013/0060625 A1	3/2013		
2008/0113103 A 2008/0133215 A		Sarukkai	2013/0080177 A1	3/2013		
2008/0140385 A		Mahajan	2013/0211710 A1		Kennewick	
		J				

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2013/0253929	A1	9/2013	Weider
2013/0254314	A1	9/2013	Chow
2013/0297293	$\mathbf{A}1$	11/2013	Cristo
2013/0304473	A1	11/2013	Baldwin
2013/0311324	A1	11/2013	Stol1
2013/0339022	A1	12/2013	Baldwin
2014/0006951	A1	1/2014	Hunter
2014/0012577	A1	1/2014	Freeman
2014/0108013	A1	4/2014	Cristo
2014/0156278	A1	6/2014	Kennewick
	A1	8/2014	Tur
	A1	9/2014	Kennewick
	A1	9/2014	Baldwin
	A1	9/2014	Pitschel
	A1	9/2014	Kennewick
	A1	12/2014	Weider
	A1	1/2015	Cristo
	A1	1/2015	Anandarajah
2015/0066627	A1	3/2015	Freeman
2015/0073910	A1	3/2015	Kennewick
2015/0095159	A1	4/2015	Kennewick
2015/0142447	A1	5/2015	Kennewick
2015/0170641	A1	6/2015	Kennewick
2015/0228276	A1	8/2015	Baldwin
2015/0348544	A1	12/2015	Baldwin
2016/0049152	A1	2/2016	Kennewick
2016/0078482	A1	3/2016	Kennewick
2016/0078491	A1	3/2016	Kennewick
	A1	3/2016	Kennewick
	A1	3/2016	Carter
	A1	4/2016	Kennewick
2010/0110547		1, 2010	1 chine wick

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JР	2001071289	3/2001
JР	2006146881	6/2006
JР	2008058465	3/2008
JР	2008139928	6/2008
JР	2011504304	2/2011
WO	9946763	9/1999
WO	0021232	1/2000
WO	0046792	1/2000
WO	0178065	10/2001
WO	2004072954	1/2004
WO	2007019318	1/2007
WO	2007021587	1/2007
WO	2007027546	1/2007
WO	2007027989	1/2007
WO	2008098039	1/2008
WO	2008118195	1/2008
WO	2008027454	2/2008
WO	2009075912	1/2009
WO	2009145796	1/2009
WO	2010096752	1/2010
WO	2016044290	3/2016
WO	2016044316	3/2016
WO	2016044319	3/2016
WO	2016044321	3/2016
WO	2016061309	4/2016

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Wu, Su-Lin, et al. "Incorporating information from syllable-length time scales into automatic speech recognition." Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 1998. Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on. vol. 2. IEEE, 1998.*

Kirchhoff, Katrin. "Syllable-level desynchronisation of phonetic features for speech recognition." Spoken Language, 1996. ICSLP 96. Proceedings., Fourth International Conference on. vol. 4. IEEE, 1996.*

"Statement in Accordance with the Notice from the European Patent Office" dated Oct. 1, 2007 Concerning Business Methods (OJ EPO Nov. 2007, 592-593), XP002456252.

Arrington, Michael, "Google Redefines GPS Navigation Landscape: Google Maps Navigation for Android 2.0", TechCrunch, printed from the Internet http://www.techcrunch.com/2009/10/28/google-redefines-car-gps-navigation-google-maps-navigation-android/, Oct. 28, 2009, 4 pages.

Bazzi, Issam et al., "Heterogeneous Lexical Units for Automatic Speech Recognition: Preliminary Investigations", Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. 3, Jun. 5-9, 2000, XP010507574, pp. 1257-1260. Belvin, Robert, et al., "Development of the HRL Route Navigation Dialogue System", Proceedings of the First International Conference on Human Language Technology Research, San Diego, 2001, pp. 1-5.

Chai et al., "MIND: A Semantics-Based Multimodal Interpretation Framework for Conversational Systems", Proceedings of the International CLASS Workshop on Natural, Intelligent and Effective Interaction in Multimodal Dialogue Systems, Jun. 2002, pp. 37-46. Cheyer et al., "Multimodal Maps: An Agent-Based Approach", International Conference on Cooperative Multimodal Communication (CMC/95), May 24-26, 1995, pp. 111-121.

El Meliani et al., "A Syllabic-Filler-Based Continuous Speech Recognizer for Unlimited Vocabulary", Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering, vol. 2, Sep. 5-8, 1995, pp. 1007-1010.

Elio et al., "On Abstract Task Models and Conversation Policies" in Workshop on Specifying and Implementing Conversation Policies, Autonomous Agents '99, Seattle, 1999, 10 pages.

Kuhn, Thomas, et al., "Hybrid In-Car Speech Recognition for Mobile Multimedia Applications", Vehicular Technology Conference, IEEE, Jul. 1999, pp. 2009-2013.

Lin, Bor-shen, et al., "A Distributed Architecture for Cooperative Spoken Dialogue Agents with Coherent Dialogue State and History", ASRU'99, 1999, 4 pages.

Lind, R., et al., The Network Vehicle—A Glimpse into the Future of Mobile Multi-Media, IEEE Aerosp. Electron. Systems Magazine, vol. 14, No. 9, Sep. 1999, pp. 27-32.

Mao, Mark Z., "Automatic Training Set Segmentation for Multi-Pass Speech Recognition", Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, CA, copyright 2005, IEEE, pp. I-685 to I-688. O'Shaughnessy, Douglas, "Interacting with Computers by Voice: Automatic Speech Recognition and Synthesis", Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 91, No. 9, Sep. 1, 2003, XP011100665. pp. 1272-1305. Reuters, "IBM to Enable Honda Drivers to Talk to Cars", Charles Schwab & Co., Inc., Jul. 28, 2002, 1 page.

Turunen, "Adaptive Interaction Methods in Speech User Interfaces", Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Seattle, Washington, 2001, pp. 91-92.

Washington, 2001, pp. 91-92. Vanhoucke, Vincent, "Confidence Scoring and Rejection Using Multi-Pass Speech Recognition", Nuance Communications, Menlo Park. CA, 2005, 4 pages.

Park, CA, 2005, 4 pages.
Weng, Fuliang, et al., "Efficient Lattice Representation and Generation", Speech Technology and Research Laboratory, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA, 1998, 4 pages.

Zhao, Yilin, "Telematics: Safe and Fun Driving", IEEE Intelligent Systems, vol. 17, Issue 1, 2002, pp. 10-14.

^{*} cited by examiner




Figure 1





Figure 2



SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DELIVERING TARGETED ADVERTISEMENTS AND/OR PROVIDING NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING BASED ON ADVERTISEMENTS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/537,598, entitled "System and Method for 10 Delivering Targeted Advertisements and/or Providing Natural Language Processing Based on Advertisements," filed Nov. 10, 2014, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/016,757, entitled "System and Method for Delivering Targeted Advertisements and Tracking Advertisement Interactions in Voice Recognition Context," filed Sep. 3, 2013 (which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 8,886,536 on Nov. 11, 2014), which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/371,870, entitled "System and Method for Delivering Target Advertisements and Tracking Advertisement Interac- 20 tions in Voice Recognition Context," filed Feb. 13, 2012 (which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 8,527,274 on Sep. 3, 2013), which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/847,564, entitled "System and Method for Selecting and Presenting Advertisements Based on Natural Language Pro- 25 cessing of Voice-Based Input," filed Jul. 30, 2010 (which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 8,145,489 on Mar. 27, 2012), which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/671,526, entitled "System and Method for Selecting and Presenting Advertisements Based on Natural Language Processing of Voice-Based Input," filed Feb. 6, 2007 (which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,818,176 on Oct. 19, 2010), the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to delivering targeted advertisements and/or processing natural language processing based on advertisements.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

As technology advances, consumer electronics devices tend to play larger roles due to increased functionality and mobility. For example, mobile phones, navigation devices, 45 embedded devices, and other such devices provide a wealth of functionality beyond core applications. However, increased functionality adds difficulty to the learning curve associated with using electronic devices, and increased mobility intensifies the demand for simple mechanisms to interact with 50 devices on the go. For example, existing systems tend to have complex human to machine interfaces, which may inhibit mass-market adoption for various technologies. For example, when a user wishes to perform a relatively simple task on a mobile phone, such as purchasing a ring tone, the user often is 55 forced to navigate through a series of menus and press a series of buttons. In some instances, this may result in the transaction not necessarily occurring, as the user may prefer to avoid the hassles altogether. As such, there is ever-growing demand for ways to exploit technology in intuitive ways.

Voice recognition software may enable a user to exploit applications and features of a device that may otherwise be unfamiliar, unknown, or difficult to use. However, many existing voice user interfaces (when they actually work) still require significant learning on the part of the user. For 65 example, users often cannot directly issue a request for a system to retrieve information or perform an action without

2

having to memorize specific syntaxes, words, phrases, concepts, semantic indicators, or other keywords/qualifiers. Similarly, when users are uncertain of particular needs, many existing systems do not engage the user in a productive, cooperative dialogue to resolve requests and advance a conversation. Instead, many existing speech interfaces force users to use a fixed set commands or keywords to communicate requests in ways that systems can understand. Using existing voice user interfaces, there is virtually no option for dialogue between the user and the system to satisfy mutual goals.

The lack of adequate voice user interfaces results in missed opportunities for providing valuable and relevant information to users. Not only does this potentially leave user requests unresolved, in certain instances, providers of goods and services may lose out on potential business. In an increasingly global marketplace, where marketers are continually looking for new and effective ways to reach consumers, the problems with existing voice user interfaces leaves a large segment of consumer demand unfulfilled. Furthermore, existing techniques for marketing, advertising, or otherwise calling consumers to action fail to effectively utilize voice-based information, which is one of the most natural, intuitive methods of human interaction.

Existing systems suffer from these and other problems.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to various aspects of the invention, a system and 30 method for selecting and presenting advertisements based on natural language processing of voice-based inputs is provided. A natural language voice-based input may be received by a voice user interface. The voice-based input may include a user utterance, and a request may be identified from the 35 utterance. Appropriate action may be taken to service the request, while one or more advertisements may be selected and presented to the user. Advertisements may be selected based on various criteria, including content of the input (e.g., concepts, semantic indicators, etc.), an activity related to the 40 input (e.g., a relation to a request, a requested application, etc.), user profiles (e.g., demographics, preferences, location, etc.), or in other ways. A user may subsequently interact with the advertisement (e.g., via a voice-based input), and action may be taken in response to the interaction. Furthermore, the interaction may be tracked to build statistical profiles of user behavior based on affinities or clusters among advertisements, user profiles, contexts, topics, semantic indicators, concepts, or other criteria.

According to various aspects of the invention, advertisers may create advertisements, which may be stored in an advertisement repository. For example, advertisements may include sponsored messages, calls to action, purchase opportunities, trial downloads, or any other marketing communication, as would be apparent to those skilled in the art. Advertisers may specify various parameters to associate with the advertisements, such as various contexts or topic concepts (e.g., semantic indicators for a "music" concept may include words such as "music," "tunes," "songs," etc.), target demographics (e.g., a preferred audience), marketing criteria or 60 prices for insertion (e.g., dynamic or static pricing based on various marketing criteria), or other information, as would be apparent. The advertisement repository may be associated with a server, where in response to a voice-based input from a user (e.g., at a voice-enabled device), a communications link may be established with the server. Information may be extracted from the voice-based input (e.g., words in the input, applications requested by the input, etc.), and the extracted

information may be correlated with user profiles, advertisement parameters, or other information to determine which advertisements to select in relation to the voice-based input. The server may subsequently communicate the selected advertisements to the user, and the server may track the user's subsequent interaction with the selected advertisements.

Other objects and advantages of the invention will be apparent based on the following drawings and detailed description.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates a block diagram of an exemplary system for implementing a voice user interface according to various aspects of the invention.

FIG. 2 illustrates a block diagram of an exemplary advertising system according to various aspects of the invention.

FIG. 3 illustrates a flow diagram of an exemplary method for selecting and presenting advertisements based on voice-based inputs according to various aspects of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Referring to FIG. 1, an exemplary system 100 for implementing a voice user interface is illustrated according to 25 various aspects of the invention. System 100 may enable users to perform various tasks on a voice-enabled device. For example, users may control navigation devices, media devices, personal computers, personal digital assistants, or any other device supporting voice-based inputs. System 100 30 may enable users to request voice-enabled devices to retrieve information or perform various tasks, among other things, using natural language voice-based inputs. For example, system 100 may interpret natural language voice-based inputs and generate responses using, among other things, techniques 35 described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/452,147, entitled "Systems and Methods for Responding to Natural Language Speech Utterance," filed Jun. 3, 2003, which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,398,209 on Jul. 8, 2008, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/618,633, entitled "Mobile Systems 40 and Methods for Responding to Natural Language Speech Utterance," filed Jun. 15, 2003, which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,693,720 on Apr. 6, 2010, both of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. For example, as described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/452,147, the 45 system 100 may include a speech recognition engine (e.g., an Automatic Speech Recognizer 110) that may recognize words and phrases in an utterance using entries in one or more dictionary and phrase tables. In addition, as further described therein, fuzzy set possibilities or prior probabilities for the 50 words in the dictionary and phrase tables may be dynamically updated to maximize the probability of correct recognition at each stage of the dialog (e.g., the probabilities or possibilities may be dynamically updated based on application domains, questions or commands, contexts, user profiles and prefer- 55 ences, user dialog histories, recognizer dictionary and phrase tables, word spellings, and/or other criteria).

According to various aspects of the invention, system 100 may receive a user input, including at least a voice-based user utterance, at an input device 105. Input device 105 may 60 include any suitable device, or combination of devices, for receiving a voice-based input (e.g., a microphone). In various implementations, input device 105 may include a multimodal input, such as a touch-screen interface, keypad, or other input. The received utterance may be processed by the 65 Automatic Speech Recognizer 110. Automatic Speech Recognizer 110 may generate one or more preliminary interpre-

4

tations of the utterance using various techniques. For example, Automatic Speech Recognizer 110 may interpret the utterance using techniques of phonetic dictation to recognize a stream of phonemes. Further, Automatic Speech Recognizer 110 may perform post-processing to enhance the preliminary interpretations. For example, Automatic Speech Recognizer 110 may vary interpretations of an utterance, or components of an utterance, from one context to another. Other techniques for enhancing an interpretation of a user utterance may be used, such as those described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/513,269, entitled "Dynamic Speech Sharpening," filed Aug. 31, 2006, which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,634,409 on Dec. 15, 2009, and which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

The one or more preliminary interpretations may be provided to a conversational language processor 120. Conversational language processor 120 may include a voice search engine 125, a context determination module 130, and one or more agents 135, among other things, to enable cooperative, conversational interaction between the user and system 100. Conversational language processor 120 may be communicatively coupled to one or more data repositories 140 and one or more applications 150. Conversational language processor 120 may generate a domain-specific conversational response, which may be returned to the user as an output 180. Output 180 may include a multi-modal output (e.g., by simultaneously returning a voice-based response and displaying information on a display device).

System 100 may further include an interaction with one or more applications 150 to service one or more requests in the utterance. For example, the utterance may include one or more requests for performing an action, retrieving information, or various combinations thereof. Output 180 may include a conversational response to advance a conversation to service requests by invoking one or more applications 150, as appropriate. For example, applications 150 may include a navigation application 155, an advertising application 160, a music application, an electronic commerce application 170, and/or other applications 175. Furthermore, Automatic Speech Recognizer 110, conversational language processor 120, data repositories 140, and/or applications 150 may reside locally (e.g., on a user device), remotely (e.g., on a server), and/or hybrid local/remote processing models may be used (e.g., lightweight applications may be processed locally while computationally intensive applications may be processed remotely).

Conversational language processor 120 may build longterm and/or short-term shared knowledge in one or more knowledge sources. For example, shared knowledge sources may include information about previous utterances, requests, and other user interactions to inform generating an appropriate response to a current utterance. The shared knowledge may include public/non-private (i.e., environmental) knowledge, as well as personal/private (i.e., historical) knowledge. For example, conversational language processor 120 may use context determination module 130 to establish a context for a current utterance by having domain agents 135 competitively generate a context-based interpretation of the utterance (e.g., by scoring possible interpretations and selecting a highest scoring interpretation). As such, agents 135 may model various domains (e.g., navigation, music, a specific user, global users, advertising, e-commerce, etc.), and conversational language processor 120 may interpret and/or respond to a voicebased input accordingly. For example, context-based interpretations and responses to a voice-based input may be generated using techniques described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/197,504, entitled "Systems and Methods for

Responding to Natural Language Speech Utterance," filed Aug. 5, 2005, which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,640,160 on Dec. 29, 2009, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/212, 693, entitled "Mobile Systems and Methods of Supporting Natural Language Human-Machine Interactions," filed Aug. 529, 2005, which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,949,529 on May 24, 2011, both of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Furthermore, conversational language processor 120 may support adaptive misrecognition to reinterpret a current utter- 10 ance and/or one or more previous utterances. For example, information contained in a current utterance may indicate that interpretations for one or more previous utterances were incorrect, and therefore, the previous utterances may be reinterpreted to improve subsequent interpretations. Accordingly, 15 conversational language processor 120 may use the techniques described herein, along with various other techniques, to interpret and respond to conversational, natural language utterances. Conversational language processor 120 may use various other techniques as will be apparent, such as those 20 described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/200,164, entitled "System and Method of Supporting Adaptive Misrecognition in Conversational Speech," filed Aug. 10, 2005, which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,620,549 on Nov. 17, 2009, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/580,926, entitled 25 "System and Method for a Cooperative Conversational Voice User Interface," filed Oct. 16, 2006, which issued as U.S. Pat. No. 8,073,681 on Dec. 6, 2011, both of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. For example, as described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/200,164, an 30 environmental model may be accessed to determine user location, user activity, track user actions, and/or other environmental information to invoke context, domain knowledge, preferences, and/or other cognitive qualities to enhance the interpretation of questions and/or commands. In addition, as 35 further described therein, based on information received from a general cognitive model, the environmental model, and/or a personalized cognitive model, which provide statistical abstracts of user interaction patterns, the system 100 may enhance responses to commands and questions by including 40 a prediction of user behavior.

Referring to FIG. 2, an exemplary advertising system 200 is illustrated according to various aspects of the invention. System 200 may include a server 230 for receiving one or more advertisements from an advertiser 220, wherein the 45 advertisements may be stored in a data repository 260 associated with server 230. For example, advertisements may include sponsored messages or marketing communications, calls to action, purchase opportunities, trial downloads, coupons, or any other suitable marketing, advertising, campaign, 50 or other information, as would be apparent to those skilled in the art. A voice-enabled device 210 may receive a voice-based input and establish communications with advertising server 230. Subsequently, advertising server 230 may select one or more advertisements from among the advertisements stored 55 in data repository 260, and the selected advertisements may be provided to the voice-enabled device for presentation to a

Advertiser 220 may access advertising server 230 via an advertiser interface 245. Advertisers 220 may upload targeted advertisements to server 230 via advertiser interface 245, and server 230 may store the advertisements in data repository 260. The advertisements may include graphically-based advertisements that include banners, images, audio, video, or any suitable combination thereof. Furthermore, the advertisements may include interactive or embedded information, such as links, metadata, or computer-executable instructions,

6

or any suitable combination thereof. Advertisers may specify criteria for a campaign or targeting information for an advertisement (e.g., a start date, an end date, budget information, geo-targeting information, conceptual or contextual information, or any other suitable criteria), which may be used to facilitate selecting an advertisement in relation to a particular voice-based input.

In addition to providing interface 245 for advertisers, server 230 may include a content/action identification module 235, a user profile module 240, an advertisement selection module 250, and a tracking module 255. Users may submit voice-based requests to voice-enabled device 210, and voice-enabled device 210 may communicate information about the voice-based input to server 230. Server 230 may invoke advertisement selection module 250 to extract relevant information from the voice-based input, where advertisement selection module 250 may select one or more advertisements relevant to the voice-based input based on information extracted using content/action identification module 235 and/or user profile module 240.

For example, content/action identification module 235 may identify content of the voice-based input (e.g., words in the input), requested information (e.g., search results, a web page, music, video, graphics, or other information), requested actions (e.g., calculating a navigation route, placing a telephone call, playing a song, etc.), a category or topic related to the input (e.g., music, business, stocks, sports, navigation, movies, etc.), or other criteria to use in selecting an advertisement. Further, user profile module 240 may identify characteristics of a specific user (e.g., demographics, personal preferences, location-based information, etc.), global user profiles (e.g., demographic profiles, click-through rates, etc.), or other criteria to use in selecting an advertisement. Moreover, advertisement selection module 250 may account for where a request originates from. For example, advertisements may be selected based on a default user location (e.g., identified from a user profile), current geolocation information (e.g., identified from a navigation device), whether an affiliate or partner of server 230 initiated the request, or other criteria.

For instance, a user may request airline reservations via voice-enabled device 210, and content/action identification module 235 may identify specific words used in the request, a category related to the request (e.g., travel, airlines, hotels, etc.), or other information. Furthermore, user profile module 240 may identify relevant characteristics of the user (e.g., user-specific demographics, location information, preferred airlines or hotels, etc.), as well as global user characteristics (e.g., most popular airlines). In various implementations, advertisements may be selected by assigning a score to each advertisement (e.g., based on click-through rates, relevance metrics, target audiences, etc.). As such, advertisement selection module 250 may correlate the information about the request to select advertisements stored in data repository 260, and server 230 may communicate the selected advertisements to voice-enabled device 210. Furthermore, selected advertisements may be presented according to a predetermined ordering or ranking (e.g., based on a ranking of relevance to an advertisement).

In various implementations, advertisement selection module 250 may retrieve a predetermined number of advertisements for any given request. Furthermore, the selected advertisements may depend upon a presentation format. For example, advertisements may be selected based on an amount of available space on a display of voice-enabled device 210 and/or a size/shape of the selected advertisements. In another example, voice-based advertisements may be selected and

presented to the user audibly (e.g., a "hands-free" advertisement may be preferred when voice-enabled device 210 is a telematics device).

Furthermore, the user's subsequent interaction with an advertisement may be tracked using tracking module 255. For 5 example, tracking module 255 may determine whether a conversion or click-through occurs for each advertisement presented to users. Further, tracking module 255 may maintain accounting and/or billing information associated with advertisers 220. For example, advertisers 220 may specify a maximum insertion cost, a cost-per-click-through, an average insertion cost, or other criteria specifying a budget constraint for an advertisement. As such, tracking module 255 may track which advertisements are selected and/or presented, which advertisements result in a conversion or click-through, 15 whether a click-through or conversion results in a transaction or sale, associations between advertisements and users, requests, concepts, semantic indicators, and/or other criteria. For example, tracking user interaction with advertisements may be used to build user-specific and/or global statistical 20 profiles that map or cluster advertisements to topics, semantic indicators, contexts, concepts, etc. based on user behavior, demographics, targeting constraints, content of advertisements, content of requests, actions associated with requests, or other statistically relevant information. Accordingly, the 25 tracking information may be used to bill or invoice advertisers 220, as well as to improve subsequent performance and relevance of advertisements selected using advertisement selection module 250. Other techniques and features of selecting and presenting advertisements based on voice-based inputs 30 may suitably be employed, as would be apparent.

Referring to FIG. 3, an exemplary method for selecting and presenting advertisements based on a voice-based input is illustrated according to various aspects of the invention. The method may begin in an operation 305, where a voice-based 35 input, including at least a user utterance, may be received at a voice user interface. The voice user interface may include any suitable mechanism for receiving the utterance (e.g., a microphone), and may interface with any suitable voice-enabled devices, personal digital assistants, media devices, telematics devices, personal computers, mobile phones, or others.

Subsequently, one or more requests included in the voicebased input may be identified in an operation 310. For example, the requests may include requests to retrieve infor- 45 mation, perform tasks, explore or gather information, or otherwise interact with a system or device. For example, a voicebased input to a navigation device may include a request to calculate a route or retrieve location-based information. In another example, a voice-based input to a mobile phone may 50 include a request to place a telephone call, purchase a ringtone, or record a voice-memo. Furthermore, in various implementations, voice-based inputs may include multiple requests, multi-modal requests, cross-device requests, crossapplication requests, or other types of requests. For example, 55 an utterance received in operation 305 may be: "Get me a route to Chang's Restaurant, and call them so I can make a reservation." The utterance may thus include multiple requests, including cross-device requests (e.g., calculate a route using a navigation device, and make a telephone call 60 using a mobile phone), as well as cross-application requests (e.g., search for an address and/or phone number using a voice search engine, and calculate a route using a navigation application).

The requests may be part of a conversational interaction 65 between a user and a system or device, whereby an interpretation of requests in a current utterance may be based upon

previous utterances in a current conversation, utterances in previous conversations, context-based information, local and/or global user profiles, or other information. For example, a previous request may be reinterpreted based on information included in subsequent requests, a current request may be interpreted based on information included in previous requests, etc. Furthermore, the conversational interaction may take various forms, including query-based conversations, didactic conversations, exploratory conversations, or other types of conversations. For example, the conversational language processor may identify a type of conversation, and information may be extracted from the utterance accordingly to identify the one or more requests in operation 310. Moreover, the conversational language processor may determine whether any of the requests are incomplete or ambiguous, and action may be taken accordingly (e.g., a system response may prompt a user to clarify an incomplete and/or ambiguous request). The conversational language processor may therefore use various techniques to identify a conversation type, interpret utterances, identify requests, or perform other tasks, such as those described in the aforementioned U.S. Patent Applications and U.S. Patents, which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Upon identifying the one or more requests, action may be taken based on the identified requests in an operation 315, while one or more advertisements may be selected in an operation 320 (described in greater detail below). For example, one or more context-appropriate applications may be invoked to service the requests in operation 315 (e.g., a voice search engine, a navigation application, an electronic commerce application, or other application may be invoked depending upon the request). Furthermore, in operation 320, information may be communicated to an advertising server to select one or more advertisements related to the request. Thus, as shown in FIG. 3, taking action in operation 315 and selecting advertisements in operation 320 may be related operations (e.g., advertisements may be selected to help in interpreting incomplete and/or ambiguous requests).

Upon taking action in operation 315 (e.g., to service the device, as would be apparent, including personal navigation 40 request) and selecting one or more advertisements in operation 320 (e.g., in relation to the request), an output may be presented to the user in operation 325. The output may indicate a result of the action associated with operation 315. For example, the output may include requested information, an indication of whether a requested task was successfully completed, whether additional information is needed to service the request (e.g., including a prompt for the information), or other information relating to an action based on the request. Furthermore, the output may include advertisements, as selected in operation 320. For example, the output may include text-based, graphic-based, video-based, audio-based, or other types of advertisements, as would be apparent to those skilled in the art. Further, the output may include other types of advertisements, including calls to action (e.g., a location-based coupon or purchase opportunity, trial downloads, or other actionable advertising or marketing).

> Advertisements may be selected in relation to a request based on various criteria. For example, an advertisement may be selected based on words or other content of the request, relevant words or content related to the words or content of the request, etc. In another example, the advertisement may be selected based on requested tasks/information (e.g., a request for movie showtimes may result in an advertisement being selected for a particular theater). In yet another example, the advertisement may be selected based on a topic or category associated with the requested tasks/information (e.g., a request to purchase airline tickets may result in an

advertisement being selected for a hotel in a destination associated with a reserved flight). In still other examples, the advertisement may be selected based on location information, (e.g., advertisements may be selected based on a proximity to a user geolocation identified using a navigation device), userspecific and/or global user profiles (e.g., advertisements may be selected based on user-specific and/or global preferences, advertiser campaign criteria, etc.).

Content of a voice-based input may be determined based on various criteria, including contextual or conceptual information (e.g., semantic indicators, qualifiers, or other information). For example, a given concept may include various semantically equivalent indicators having an identical meaning. Thus, for instance, a voice-based input may be "Play some tunes!" or "Play some music!" or other variants thereof, each of which may be interpreted as relating to a specific idea (or concept) of "Music." Thus, concept or content information in a request may be used to select an advertisement. For example, a user may request to calculate a route in Seattle, Wash. (e.g., "How do I get to the Space Needle?"). Based on 20 a context of the requested task (e.g., "Navigation," "Seattle," etc.), a voice search engine may retrieve an address of the Space Needle and a navigation application may calculate the route. Furthermore, user profile information may indicate that the user is visiting Seattle from out-of-town (e.g., the profile 25 may indicate that the user's home is Sacramento), and therefore, an advertisement for popular points-of-interest in Seattle may be selected. In another example, the user may request information about a sporting event (e.g., "Get me the kickoff time for the Eagles game on Sunday"). Based on a 30 context of the requested information (e.g., "Search," "Sports," "Philadelphia," etc.), the requested information may be retrieved, while an advertisement for Eagles apparel or memorabilia may be selected.

In various instances, concepts, semantic indicators, quali- 35 fiers, or other information included in, or inferred from, a request may indicate an exploratory nature for the request. In other words, the exploratory request may identify a goal for a conversation, instead of a particular task to perform or information to retrieve. As such, in various implementations, an 40 advertisement may be selected in operation 320 in an effort to advance the conversation towards the goal. For example, an exploratory request may include a request for a navigation route (e.g., "I feel like going to a museum, find me something interesting"). Based on a context of the requested task (e.g., 45 "Navigation," "Points of Interest," etc.), the goal of the conversation may be identified, and the request may be serviced in operation 315 (e.g., a voice search engine may locate nearby points of interest based on user preferred topics). Further, the advertising application may select an appropriate 50 advertisement in operation 320, where the advertisement may be selected in an attempt to advance the conversation towards the goal. For example, statistical profiles (e.g., user profiles, global profiles, topic-based profiles, etc.) may reflect an affinity between an advertisement for a particular museum and 55 other users sharing similar demographics or other characteristics with the requesting user. Thus, in addition to retrieving information about museums in operation 315, an advertisement for a museum likely to be of interest to the user may be selected in operation 320.

In various instances, a request may include incomplete, ambiguous, unrecognized, or otherwise insufficient semantic indicators, context, qualifiers, or other information needed to identify the request. In other words, the request may include inadequate information to identify or infer a task to perform, 65 information to retrieve, or a goal for a conversation. Thus, as much information as possible may be extracted and/or

10

inferred from the request based on shared knowledge such as context, user or global profile information, previous utterances, previous conversations, etc. As such, servicing the request may include generating a response and/or communicating with an advertising application to advance a conversation toward a serviceable request. For example, servicing the request in operation 315 and selecting an advertisement in operation 320 may include generating a response and/or selecting an advertisement to frame a subsequent user input, thereby advancing the conversation.

For example, the request may include incomplete, ambiguous, or unrecognized information (e.g., "Do you know [mumbled words] Seattle?"). A context of the requested task may be identified (e.g., "Seattle"), yet the identified context may be insufficient to adequately take action to service the request. Additional information may be inferred based on previous utterances in the conversation, profile information, or other information. However, when the additional information fails to provide adequate information to infer a reasonable hypothesis, servicing the request in operation 315 may include generating a response to frame a subsequent user input and advance the conversation (e.g., information about various topics may be retrieved based on a user's preferred topics). Further, the advertising application may select an advertisement in operation 320 to advance the conversation (e.g., advertisements may be selected based on user and/or global profiles reflecting an affinity between certain advertisements associated with Seattle and user preferences, profiles, etc.). Thus, by selecting an advertisement, indicating dissatisfaction with an advertisement, or otherwise interacting with an advertisement, the interaction may be used to build context and shared knowledge for a subsequent course of the conversation. For example, a user may select an advertisement, and an interpretation of a subsequent voice-based input (e.g., "Call them," "What's the price range?" etc.) may be interpreted with shared knowledge of the advertisement that the voice-based input relates to. Thus, advertisements may be used in a way that enables advertisers to market to consumers, while also improving the consumers' interaction with a device. Other advantages will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

It will be apparent that operation 320 may use various techniques to select advertisements based on voice-based inputs and/or requests included therein. For example, an advertiser may specify a target audience, marketing criteria, campaign strategies, budget constraints, concepts, semantic indicators, related topics, categories, and/or any other suitable information to associate with an advertisement. For instance, advertisers may pay a premium to prioritize an advertisement in relation to similar advertisements (e.g., advertisements associated with competitors). In another example, various statistical profiles may define affinities between advertisements, topics, users, etc. (e.g., based on click-through or conversion rates, or other tracking information, as described in more detail below). Thus, advertisements may be selected in operation 320 using various techniques, including content of the request, an activity/action associated with the request, user profiles, user preferences, statistical metrics, advertiser-specified criteria, to advance a conversation, to resolve ambiguous requests, or in various other ways, as will be apparent.

The output presented to the user in operation 325 may be provided to the user in various ways. For example, in various implementations, the output may include a voice-based or otherwise audible response. In another example, when an associated device includes a display mechanism, the output may be displayed on the display device. It will be apparent

that many combinations or variants thereof may be used, such as augmenting a voice-based response with information on a display device. For example, a user may request information about restaurants, and an advertisement may be selected based on a user preference indicating a favorite type of res- 5 taurant (e.g., a Chinese restaurant may be selected based on a user profile indicating a preference for Chinese). Therefore, in one example, the output presented in operation 325 may display information about various restaurants matching the requested information, while a voice-based advertisement for 10 the Chinese restaurant may be played to the user (e.g., via a speaker or other suitable mechanism for playing voice back to the user). Many other variations will be apparent (e.g., a graphical advertisement may be displayed on a display device, while a corresponding or different voice-based adver- 15 tisement may be played audibly).

Subsequent interaction between the user and the presented advertisements may be monitored in a decisional operation 330. For instance, when the user elects to interact with the advertisement, action may be taken based on the interaction 20 in an operation 335. The interaction may take various forms, including additional voice-based inputs or other suitable mechanisms for interacting with advertisements (e.g., clicking on an advertisement displayed on a personal digital assistant using an associated stylus). For example, a user may 25 initially request information from a voice-enabled media device (e.g., a satellite radio player) about a song currently playing (e.g., "What is this song?"). In addition to outputting the requested information about the song (e.g., "This song is Double Barrel by Dave and Ansel Collins."), a selected advertisement may enable the user to purchase a ringtone for a mobile phone that corresponds to the song. In this example, the interaction may include a request to purchase the ringtone (e.g., "Yeah, I'll buy that"), and action taken in operation 335 may include completing a transaction for the ringtone and/or 35 downloading the ringtone to the mobile phone. Furthermore, additional advertisements may be selected in an operation 340 based on the interaction, using similar techniques as described in connection with operation 320 (e.g., advertisements for additional ringtones, similar musicians, etc. may be 40 selected). Processing may subsequently return to operation 325 to present output resulting from the interaction.

User advertisement interaction may be tracked in an operation **345**. For example, operation **345** may track historical data about users, conversations, topics, contexts, or other 45 criteria to associate information with the selected advertisement. The tracking information may therefore be used to build statistical profiles defining affinities, click-through or conversion rates, or other information about various advertisements, topics, or other criteria on a user-specific and/or a 50 global-user level. Thus, clusters or mappings may be created between advertisements, topics, concepts, demographics, or other criteria based on user behavior with the advertisements (e.g., whether a user interacts with the advertisement in operation **330**).

For instance, certain advertisements may experience high click-through rates in relation to a first context and/or topic, but low click-through rates in relation to a second context and/or topic, and therefore, when requests relate to the first context and/or topic, the advertisement may be more likely to 60 be selected in subsequent operations 320/340. In another example, global statistical profiles may indicate that an advertisement experiences more click-throughs by users of a particular demographic, and therefore, the advertisement may be more likely to be selected for users falling within the demographic. Many different techniques for tracking and building statistical profiles will be apparent.

12

Implementations of the invention may be made in hardware, firmware, software, or any combination thereof. The invention may also be implemented as instructions stored on a machine-readable medium, which may be read and executed by one or more processors. A machine-readable medium may include any mechanism for storing or transmitting information in a form readable by a machine (e.g., a computing device). For example, a machine-readable storage medium may include read only memory, random access memory, magnetic disk storage media, optical storage media, flash memory devices, and others, and a machine-readable transmission media may include forms of propagated signals, such as carrier waves, infrared signals, digital signals, and others. Further, firmware, software, routines, or instructions may be described in the above disclosure in terms of specific exemplary aspects and implementations of the invention, and performing certain actions. However, it will be apparent that such descriptions are merely for convenience and that such actions in fact result from computing devices, processors, controllers, or other devices executing the firmware, software, routines, or instructions.

Aspects and implementations may be described as including a particular feature, structure, or characteristic, but every aspect or implementation may not necessarily include the particular feature, structure, or characteristic. Further, when a particular feature, structure, or characteristic is described in connection with an aspect or implementation, it will be apparent to effect such feature, structure, or characteristic in connection with other aspects or implementations whether or not explicitly described. Thus, various changes and modifications may be made, without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention. The specification and drawings are to be regarded as exemplary only, and the scope of the invention is to be determined solely by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for processing natural language utterances that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the method being implemented by one or more physical processors programmed with computer program instructions, which when executed cause the one or more physical processors to perform the method, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversational language processor configured to interpret a natural language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language utterance, the method comprising:

in response to receiving the natural language utterance, providing the natural language utterance as an input to a speech recognition engine;

in response to receiving the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, providing the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language processor;

interpreting the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;

determining the request based on the interpretation of the natural language utterance;

determining a context for the natural language utterance based at least on the recognized words or phrases;

selecting an advertisement based at least on the determined context;

providing the selected advertisement via an output device coupled to the conversational language processor; obtaining a response to the request; providing the response to the request;

- in response to receiving a second natural language utterance, providing the second natural language utterance as an input to the speech recognition engine;
- in response to receiving a second set of words or phrases, recognized from the second natural language utterance, 5 as a second output of the speech recognition engine, providing the second set of words or phrases as a second input to the conversational language processor;
- interpreting the second natural language utterance at the conversational language processor based on the recognized second set of words or phrases and the determined context; and
- determining a second request, related to the advertisement, based on the interpretation of the second natural language utterance; and
- obtaining and providing a second response to the second
- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the conversational language processor comprises one or more domain agents, where a domain agent is configured to assist in: i) interpreting 20 requests related to its domain; and ii) determining a response to the requests related to its domain.
- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein the domain agents comprise an electronic commerce agent and selecting an advertisement comprises selecting an advertisement that cor- 25 responds to an electronic commerce opportunity.
- 4. The method of claim 1, wherein the conversational language processor is configured to communicate with one or more domain-specific applications, and in response to a request relating to a domain specific application, the conversational language processor invoking the domain specific application to assist in processing the request.
- 5. The method of claim 4, wherein the domain-specific applications include an electronic commerce application and ment that corresponds to an electronic commerce opportunity.
 - **6**. The method of claim **1**, the method further comprising: using an environmental model to determine environmental information, wherein determining a context for the natural language utterance is based further on the environmental information.
- 7. The method of claim 6, wherein the environmental information comprises a user location, a user activity, or a user action.
- 8. The method of claim 6, wherein determining the request is based further on the context.
- 9. The method of claim 1, wherein presenting the advertisement comprises:
 - audibly presenting a natural language conversational 50 advertisement.
- 10. The method of claim 1, wherein obtaining the response comprises: (i) servicing the request and determining the response, or (ii) providing the request to a remote device and receiving the response from the remote device.
- 11. The method of claim 1, wherein the response and the advertisement are provided together or separately.
- 12. The method of claim 1, wherein obtaining and providing the second response comprises facilitating a purchase or obtaining additional information related to the advertisement. 60
- 13. A method for processing natural language utterances that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the method being implemented by one or more physical processors programmed with computer program instructions, which when executed cause the one or more physical processors to perform the method, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversa-

14

tional language processor configured to interpret a natural language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language utterance, the method comprising:

- in response to receiving the natural language utterance, providing the natural language utterance as an input to a speech recognition engine:
- in response to receiving the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, providing the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language pro-
- interpreting the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;
- determining the request based on the interpretation of the natural language utterance;
- determining a context for the natural language utterance based at least on the recognized words or phrases;
- selecting an advertisement based at least on the determined context:
- providing the selected advertisement via an output device coupled to the conversational language processor;
- obtaining a response to the request;
- providing the response to the request;
- receiving an indication that a user interaction with the advertisement has occurred;
- determining whether the interpretation of the natural language utterance was correct based on the indication; and interpreting a subsequent natural language utterance based on the determination of whether the interpretation of the natural language utterance was correct.
- 14. A method for processing natural language utterances selecting an advertisement comprises selecting an advertise- 35 that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the method being implemented by one or more physical processors programmed with computer program instructions, which when executed cause the one or more physical processors to perform the method, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversational language processor configured to interpret a natural language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language utterance, the method comprising:
 - in response to receiving the natural language utterance, providing the natural language utterance as an input to a speech recognition engine:
 - in response to receiving the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, providing the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language pro-
 - interpreting the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;
 - determining the request based on the interpretation of the natural language utterance;
 - determining a context for the natural language utterance based at least on the recognized words or phrases;
 - selecting an advertisement based at least on the determined context;
 - providing the selected advertisement via an output device coupled to the conversational language processor;
 - obtaining a response to the request;
 - providing the response to the request;
 - receiving an indication that a user interaction with the advertisement has occurred;

15

- determining that a subsequent natural language utterance relates to an advertisement context based on the indica-
- in response to receiving a second natural language utterance after the user interaction, providing the second 5 natural language utterance as an input to the speech recognition engine:
- in response to receiving a second set of words or phrases, recognized from the second natural language utterance, as a second output of the speech recognition engine, providing the second set of words or phrases as a second input to the conversational language processor;
- interpreting the second natural language utterance at the conversational language processor based on the recognized second set of words or phrases and the advertisement context; and
- determining a second request, related to the advertisement, based on the interpretation of the second natural language utterance; and
- obtaining and providing a second response to the second request.
- 15. A method for processing natural language utterances that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the method being implemented by one 25 or more physical processors programmed with computer program instructions, which when executed cause the one or more physical processors to perform the method, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversational language processor configured to interpret a natural 30 language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language utterance, the method comprising:
 - in response to receiving the natural language utterance, providing the natural language utterance as an input to a 35 speech recognition engine;
 - in response to receiving the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, providing the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language pro- 40 cessor:
 - interpreting the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;
 - determining the request based on the interpretation of the 45 natural language utterance;
 - determining a context for the natural language utterance based at least on the recognized words or phrases;
 - selecting an advertisement based at least on the determined context:
 - providing the selected advertisement via an output device coupled to the conversational language processor;

obtaining a response to the request;

providing the response to the request;

- in response to a determination that the natural language 55 utterance includes incomplete or unrecognized words or phrases such that insufficient information is available to determine the request, selecting a plurality of advertisements, each advertisement relating to its own context;
- presenting the plurality of advertisements to the output 60 device; and
- receiving an indication that a user interaction has occurred with at least one of the plurality of advertisements, wherein determining the request is based further on a corresponding context of an advertisement, among the 65 plurality of advertisements, with which the user interaction occurred.

16

- 16. A system for processing natural language utterances that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the system comprising:
 - one or more physical processors programmed with computer program instructions, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversational language processor configured to interpret a natural language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language utterance, the computer program instructions which when executed cause the one or more physical proces-
 - in response to receipt of the natural language utterance, provide the natural language utterance as an input to a speech recognition engine;
 - in response to receipt of the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, provide the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language processor;
 - interpret the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;
 - determine the request based on the interpretation of the natural language utterance;
 - determine a context for the natural language utterance based at least on the recognized words or phrases;
 - select an advertisement based at least on the determined context:
 - provide the selected advertisement via an output device coupled to the conversational language processor;

obtain a response to the request;

provide the response to the request;

- in response to receipt of a second natural language utterance, provide the second natural language utterance as an input to the speech recognition engine;
- in response to receipt of a second set of words or phrases, recognized from the second natural language utterance, as a second output of the speech recognition engine, provide the second set of words or phrases as a second input to the conversational language processor;
- interpret the second natural language utterance at the conversational language processor based on the recognized second set of words or phrases and the determined context: and
- determine a second request, related to the advertisement, based on the interpretation of the second natural language utterance; and
- obtaining and providing a second response to the second
- 17. The system of claim 16, wherein the conversational language processor comprises one or more domain agents, where a domain agent is configured to assist: i) interpret requests related to its domain; and ii) determine a response to the requests related to its domain.
- 18. The system of claim 17, wherein the domain agents comprise an electronic commerce agent and wherein to select an advertisement, the one or more physical processors are further programmed to: select an advertisement that corresponds to an electronic commerce opportunity.
- 19. The system of claim 16, wherein the one or more physical processors are further programmed to communicate with one or more domain-specific applications, and in response to a request relating to a domain specific application, invoke the domain specific application to assist process the
- 20. The system of claim 19, wherein the domain-specific applications include an electronic commerce application and

25

17

wherein to select an advertisement, the one or more physical processors are programmed to select an advertisement that corresponds to an electronic commerce opportunity.

- 21. The system of claim 16, wherein the one or more physical processors are further programmed to:
 - use an environmental model to determine environmental information, wherein the context for the natural language utterance is determined based further on the environmental information.
- 22. The system of claim 21, wherein the environmental information comprises a user location, a user activity, or a
- 23. The system of claim 21, wherein the determined request is based further on the context.
- 24. The system of claim 16, wherein to present the advertisement, the one or more physical processors are programmed to:
 - audibly present a natural language conversational advertisement.
- 25. The system of claim 16, wherein to obtain the response, the one or more physical processors are further programmed to: (i) service the request and determine the response, or (ii) provide the request to a remote device and receive the response from the remote device.
- 26. The system of claim 16, wherein the response and the advertisement are provided together or separately.
- 27. The system of claim 16, wherein to obtain and provide the second response, the one or more physical processors are programmed to facilitate a purchase or obtain additional 30 information related to the advertisement.
- 28. A system for processing natural language utterances that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the system comprising:
 - one or more physical processors programmed with com- 35 puter program instructions, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversational language processor configured to interpret a natural language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language 40 utterance, the computer program instructions which when executed cause the one or more physical processors to:
 - in response to receipt of the natural language utterance, provide the natural language utterance as an input to a 45 speech recognition engine;
 - in response to receipt of the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, provide the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language processor;
 - interpret the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;
 - determine the request based on the interpretation of the natural language utterance;
 - determine a context for the natural language utterance based at least on the recognized words or phrases;
 - select an advertisement based at least on the determined context:
 - coupled to the conversational language processor;
 - obtain a response to the request;
 - provide the response to the request;
 - receive an indication that a user interaction with the advertisement has occurred:
 - determine whether the interpretation of the natural language utterance was correct based on the indication; and

18

- interpret a subsequent natural language utterance based on the determination of whether the interpretation of the natural language utterance was correct.
- 29. A system for processing natural language utterances 5 that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the system comprising:
 - one or more physical processors programmed with computer program instructions, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversational language processor configured to interpret a natural language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language utterance, the computer program instructions which when executed cause the one or more physical proces-
 - in response to receipt of the natural language utterance, provide the natural language utterance as an input to a speech recognition engine;
 - in response to receipt of the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, provide the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language processor;
 - interpret the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;
 - determine the request based on the interpretation of the natural language utterance;
 - determine a context for the natural language utterance based at least on the recognized words or phrases;
 - select an advertisement based at least on the determined context:
 - provide the selected advertisement via an output device coupled to the conversational language processor;
 - obtain a response to the request;
 - provide the response to the request;
 - receive an indication that a user interaction with the advertisement has occurred;
 - determine that a subsequent natural language utterance relates to an advertisement context based on the indication:
 - in response to receipt of a second natural language utterance after the user interaction, provide the second natural language utterance as an input to the speech recognition engine;
 - in response to receipt of a second set of words or phrases, recognized from the second natural language utterance. as a second output of the speech recognition engine, provide the second set of words or phrases as a second input to the conversational language processor;
 - interpret the second natural language utterance at the conversational language processor based on the recognized second set of words or phrases and the advertisement context: and
 - determine a second request, related to the advertisement, based on the interpretation of the second natural language utterance; and
 - obtain and provide a second response to the second request.
- **30**. A system for processing natural language utterances provide the selected advertisement via an output device 60 that include requests and selecting and presenting advertisements based thereon, the system comprising:
 - one or more physical processors programmed with computer program instructions, the computer program instructions comprising at least a conversational language processor configured to interpret a natural language utterance, which relates to a request, based on words or phrases recognized from the natural language

utterance, the computer program instructions which when executed cause the one or more physical processors to:

in response to receipt of the natural language utterance, provide the natural language utterance as an input to a speech recognition engine;

in response to receipt of the words or phrases, recognized from the natural language utterance, as an output of the speech recognition engine, provide the words or phrases as an input to the conversational language processor;

interpret the natural language utterance, at the conversational language processor, based on the recognized words or phrases;

determine the request based on the interpretation of the natural language utterance;

determine a context for the natural language utterance ¹⁵ based at least on the recognized words or phrases;

select an advertisement based at least on the determined context;

20

provide the selected advertisement via an output device coupled to the conversational language processor;

obtain a response to the request;

provide the response to the request;

in response to a determination that the natural language utterance includes incomplete or unrecognized words or phrases such that insufficient information is available to determine the request, select a plurality of advertisements, each advertisement relating to its own context;

present the plurality of advertisements to the output device; receive an indication that a user interaction has occurred with at least one of the plurality of advertisements, wherein the determination of the request is based further on a corresponding context of an advertisement, among the plurality of advertisements, with which the user interaction occurred.

* * * * *